UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/612,856	07/03/2003	James D. Webb	P0008888.05	4405
27581 MEDTRONIC,	7590 10/27/200 INC.	9	EXAMINER	
710 MEDTRON	NIC PARKWAY NE		MANUEL, GEORGE C	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55432-9924			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3762	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/27/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/612,856	WEBB ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	George Manuel	3762			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 8/25/	09.				
	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-26</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9-22</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-8 and 23-26</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
222 III IIII AMAMAMAMAMAMAMAMAMAMAMAMAMAMAM					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 8/25/09, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-4, 6-8 and 23-26 under Njemanze (US 6,468,219) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Mault (US 2001/0044588).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1- 4, 6-8 and 23-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mault (US 2001/0044588).

Mault discloses data may be transmitted over a communications network, where it is accessible by a caregiver at a remote location. Temperature measurements may be made of core body temperature (for example using an implanted probe), skin temperature, and ambient temperature.

Mault discloses a system comprising a sensor, a computing device, (preferably a personal digital assistant or other portable computer), a communications network

(preferably the Internet), the computing device being connected to the network using a wireless connection. A server system (preferably a web server), a physician's computer (a computer accessible by the patient's physician), and a remote computing device (such as a PDA carried by another person with an interest in the patient, such as a relative) are connected to the network. The sensor system may average data, compensate for errors, or otherwise process data before transmission to the computing device. The sensor also preferably comprises an electrical power supply, such as a battery.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to modify the device of Mault to record hemodynamic and cardiac data because Mault teaches the temperature monitoring system could be used along with systems for monitoring other physiological conditions such as heart beats, EKG, blood oxygenation, etc. to give the health care professional immediate accurate information regarding the patient's condition.

Further, motivation to modify the device of Mault to record pulmonary pressure and blood pressure values is provided by the teaching that physiological parameters which may be monitored include blood compositions (such as blood glucose levels, blood oxygenation), physical activity, respiration rate and heart rate.

Regarding claims 8 and 24, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to enable access to various zones or pages including a dedicated public zone or section, confidential zone or physician section and a private zone or patient section because Mault teaches the server system may preferably be a web server, a physician's computer (a computer accessible by the patient's physician), or a remote computing

Application/Control Number: 10/612,856 Page 4

Art Unit: 3762

device (such as a PDA carried by another person with an interest in the patient, such as

a relative) all of which are connected to the network.

Regarding claims 25 and 26, monitor 126 provides a display one of ordinary skill

in the art would have found obvious to use in presenting cardiac pressure trend data or

other trends in retrievable data to assist a physician in make a patient diagnosis.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to George Manuel whose telephone number is (571) 272-

4952.

/George Manuel/ Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3762